

Date: 15/11/2016 1:49 PM
Subject: Form submission from: WCB Act Review Input

Submitted on Tuesday, November 15, 2016 - 13:49

Submitted by anonymous user: [REDACTED]

Submitted values are:

Name: [REDACTED]

Organization: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Input:

I have been a Safety Professional for many years and the one thing that I have never been able to understand is why does WCB insure UNQUALIFIED employers.

I need to prove my abilities to drive a car to get a license.

I need to pass an exam to own firearm.

My 13 yr old niece needs to take a babysitting course in order to be qualified.

But any person can hire and be responsible for the lives of those he/she hires and not be required to have any education at all...and then we insure them. Does this make any sense at all?

I feel that we need to impose mandatory education to qualify for WCB coverage. An owner of any company registering for WCB must themselves or require upper management to attend at least 2 or 3 days of education safety training of responsibilities and accountability...much like the COR requirements. Signing off on their responsibilities as an owner. These course should be free and provided by WCB. This in the end would lower cost and injury rates IMHO.